

AGENDA MANAGEMENT SHEET

Name of Committee Overview And Scrutiny Board

Date of Committee 12 January 2011

Report Title Scrutiny Reviews Progress Report and New Proposals for Task and Finish Groups

Summary The Board is asked to comment on the progress of scrutiny reviews and consider any new proposals for task and finish groups to undertake reviews.

For further information please contact: Jane Pollard
Democratic Services
Manager
Tel: 01926 412565
janepollard@warwickshire.gov.uk
No.

Would the recommended decision be contrary to the Budget and Policy Framework?

Background papers None

CONSULTATION ALREADY UNDERTAKEN:- Details to be specified

- Other Committees
- Local Member(s) N/A
- Other Elected Members Councillors Chris Saint, Les Caborn, Mike Doody, June Tandy, John Whitehouse
- Cabinet Member For information Councillors: Colin Hayfield, Peter Butlin, Martin Heatley.
- Chief Executive
- Legal Jane Pollard
- Finance
- Other Strategic Directors David Clarke, Strategic Director of Resources, Monica Fogarty Assistant Chief Executive
- District Councils

Health Authority

Police

Other Bodies/Individuals

FINAL DECISION YES

SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS:

Details to be specified

Further consideration by this Committee

To Council

To Cabinet

To an O & S Committee

To an Area Committee

Further Consultation

Overview and Scrutiny Board - 12th January 2011.

Scrutiny Reviews Progress Report and New Proposals for Task and Finish Groups

Report of the Strategic Director Customers, Workforce and Governance

<p>Recommendation</p> <p>That the Overview and Scrutiny Board</p> <p>(1) Comments on the progress of the scrutiny reviews</p> <p>(2) Considers any new proposals for task and finish groups</p> <p>(3) Decides which groups it wishes to commission and appoints the members and chairs of those groups.</p>
--

1. Proposals for new Task and Finish Groups

No proposals have been identified at the time of writing

2. Scrutiny Reviews Progress Report 2010/11

Topic	Reports to Parent Committees	Progress and Comments
Hawthorn Ward	Health OSC 1.07.2010	Recommendations referred to PCT and NHS Partnership Trust. Recommendations accepted.
School Exclusions	Children & Young People OSC 8.9.2010	Cabinet endorsed the recommendations on 18/11/2010 subject to any financial implications arising from the recommendations being considered as part of the Budget and subject to emerging Government policy changes.
Safeguarding Children	Children & Young People OSC 8.9.2010	Cabinet endorsed the recommendations on 18/11/2010 subject to any financial implications arising from the recommendations being considered as part of the Budget and subject to emerging Government policy changes.
Child Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS)	Adult Social Care & Health OSC 16.09.2010	The recommendations were approved by Cabinet on 16/12/2010, subject to any financial implications being considered as part of the Council's budget process.

Topic	Reports to Parent Committees	Progress and Comments
Support for Carers	Adult Social Care & Health OSC 16.09.2010	Cabinet on 16/12/2010 approved recommendations 1,2,3,4,8,11,12,and 13 subject to them being resource neutral and where information is required that it is provided electronically or via leaflets Recommendations 5, 6 and 7 were referred for consideration by the Health and Well-Being Board once established. Recommendation 9 (capital allocation of £1.5M) was not accepted but it was agreed that these concerns be identified at the Housing Related Support Board Recommendation 10 was noted and Cabinet supported the continuation of the work already in existence.
Rugby A&E Services consultation	Adult Social Care & Health OSC 12.10.2010	Recommendations were endorsed by ASC&H OSC on 12.10.2010 and forwarded to Warwickshire PCT and UHCW
Ante Natal and Post Natal Services for Teenage Parents	Adult Social Care & Health OSC 8.12.2010	Recommendations endorsed by OSC on 8.12.2010. Scheduled for Cabinet 27.1.2011
Communication with the public and financial accountability	Overview & Scrutiny Board 12.1.2011	Phase 1 report being considered by Cabinet 27.1.2011
Public Service Reform	Overview & Scrutiny Board 12.1.2011	Reporting to Board and if recommendations agreed to Cabinet 27.1.2011
Household Waste Recycling Centres	Communities OSC 3.11.2010	Recommendations made and being implemented
Adult Social Care Prevention Services	Adult Social Care & Health OSC 24.1.2011	Review planning meeting held 26/10/2010. 3 evidence sessions - 9/11/2010, 24/11/2011 and 10/12/2011. Undertaken visits to telecare flats and users. On target for report to OSC 24.1.2011 and Cabinet 27.1.2011
Discharge from hospital and re-ablement	Adult Social Care and Health OSC 13 April 2011	Preliminary work being undertaken- substantive start in January 2011.
Supporting the local economy	Communities OSC 30 June 2011	Has had its first meeting to plan the review. Next meeting on 26 th January 2011

DAVID CARTER
Strategic Director Customers,
Workforce and Governance
Shire Hall
Warwick
16 December 2010

Criteria for Commissioning Scrutiny Reviews

The Overview and Scrutiny Strategy sets out the following criteria for deciding whether to undertake a scrutiny review

- Does this issue have a potential impact for significant section(s) of the population?
- Is it a matter of general public concern?
- Is the issue to be reviewed a key deliverable of a strategic and/or partnership plan?
- Is it a key performance area where the Council needs to improve?
- Is there a legislative requirement to undertake the review?

Secondly to ensure that reviews add value/ make a difference

- Are there adequate resources available to do the activity well?
- Is the overview and scrutiny activity timely?
- Is there a clear objective for scrutinising this topic?
- Is there evidence to support the need for overview and scrutiny?
- What are the likely benefits to the council and its customers?
- Are we likely to achieve a desired outcome?
- What are the potential risks?

Reasons to reject Items for overview and scrutiny might include

- An issue is being examined elsewhere - e.g. by the cabinet, working group, officer group, other body
- An issue was dealt with less than 2 years ago
- New legislation or guidance is expected within the next year
- There is no scope for overview and scrutiny to add value/ make a difference